


Tipping the climate finance balance – investing in climate adaptation to prevent food insecurity

CASA & AGRA

September 2023



AGRF Dealroom 2023 – Government Round Table (special edition)
Creating an enabling environment for climate adaptation investments

The intended outcome of the Government Round Table is to identify the appetite from delegations and donors to champion and support, the implementation of national dealroom activities and agribusiness investment meetings in other African countries, in collaboration with AGRA and local government bodies

Contents

Foreword	2
Section 1: CASA’s research findings.....	4
The state of the agriculture climate finance sector	4
The role of climate finance.....	4
The landscape of climate finance mobilization initiatives	6
Pathways to stronger outcomes for the private sector	6
Gaps in knowledge that are limiting engagement of the private sector in meeting climate outcomes.....	7
Knowledge opportunities to unlock private sector investment for climate adaptation and resilience in the agriculture sector in lower- and middle-income countries	8
Private sector perception of climate adaptation	8
Reasons tipping the balance of private investment towards climate mitigation ...	9
Redressing the private climate finance imbalance.....	9
The role of the enabling environment for tipping the balance	10
Investable climate adaptation technologies.....	11
Technologies and business models demonstrating commercial investment potential	11
Technologies with potential for reducing food losses.....	12
Roadmap for promoting investments in climate smart agriculture technologies	13
Section 2: Stakeholder consultations & policy implementation in Malawi.....	15
Priority areas for promoting investments in adaptation in Malawi	15
Moving forward	17

Foreword

Alvaro Valverde, CASA Lead for Research, Learning and Communication writes:

The Commercial Agriculture for Smallholders and Agribusinesses programme ([CASA](#)) aims to drive global investment for inclusive climate-resilient agri-food systems that increase smallholder incomes. The programme makes the case for increased agribusiness investment by demonstrating the commercial and development potential of sourcing models involving empowered smallholder producers and by tackling the information and evidence gaps holding back investment.

As part of its effort to promote resilience in agri-food systems, and as a result of the commitments of the UK government towards tackling climate change, CASA places finance mobilization for climate adaptation in agriculture at the heart of its work. This has informed the evidence generation and stakeholder engagement activities of the programme over the last years, leading to the identification of areas that require additional investment and research to start tipping the climate finance balance towards adaptation.

This brief summarizes some of the most relevant CASA publications on climate finance for adaptation, covering the following:

- The state of the climate finance sector, as well as the existing gap between mitigation and adaptation finance
- The landscape of initiatives trying to mobilize climate finance
- The motivations and constraints faced by investors and food companies for investing in adaptation and nature-based solutions
- A range of climate smart agriculture technologies that demonstrate commercial viability and investment potential

These findings are contextualized with findings from Malawi, which intend to inform other African governments to explore what they can do to create a sustainable and supportive enabling environment for attracting private capital for the promotion of climate change adaptation in food systems.

In June 2023, the Malawi Investment and Trade Centre (MITC), AGRA, the Centre for Agricultural Transformation (CAT) and CASA organized the Malawi Agribusiness Investment Meeting and Malawi National Agribusiness Dealroom launch. In this instance CASA took a leading role in the organization of the Malawi Agribusiness Investment Meeting. Moving forward, both MITC and AGRA are jointly committed to facilitating investment opportunities in the agribusiness sector in Malawi, and continue leading on the matchmaking of investment-ready agribusinesses and investors.

The participants (investors, agribusinesses, government policy makers, and development actors) agreed four priority action points for the promotion of investments in climate adaptation in Malawi:

- Partnerships between government, local organizations and community structures, such as Village Committees, to ensure implementation of adaptation policies and plans on the ground

- Awareness raising at the community level about how climate is affecting agriculture and the practices needed to adapt, which will contribute to increasing the demand for climate adaptation technologies and advice
- Development of a better seed system with climate resilient crop varieties, as well as government-driven compliance and monitoring systems
Tax incentives or guarantee schemes for de-risking investments in climate adaptation technologies, like solar-powered water pumps, with capacity building for user.

This document aims to support the delegations at the Government Round Table: Creating an enabling environment for climate adaptation investments, at the AGRF Africa Food Systems Forum in Tanzania. The process and outcomes from the Malawi Agribusiness Investment Meeting and Dealroom launch (last section of this report) will be presented.

Mumbi Maina, Agribusiness Dealroom Lead at [AGRA](#), writes:

The consensus amongst investors, policy makers and agribusinesses was that financing climate adaptation had to be at heart of the Malawi Agribusiness Investment Meeting. The discussions on climate, which I co-facilitated, came up with four actionable priorities closely aligned to the government's own priorities. Priority 1 that highlighted the critical role of partnerships across the ecosystem is the anchor of the innovative Malawi National Agribusiness Dealroom, a multi-stakeholder platform that is committed to taking forward the emerging priorities. We look forward to deepening the engagement in Malawi and replicating the model in other African countries.

The leadership shown by CASA, led AGRA to invite them to help shape the climate finance discussions that will take place as part of the Dealroom at the AGRF Africa Food Systems Forum in September 2023. The processes and action points, identified in Malawi, have informed the agenda of the climate strand of the AGRF's Dealroom, including the climate finance thematic discussions, as well as workshops with the private sector and government delegations. These discussions are designed to spearhead investment in climate adaptation to prevent food insecurity and to maintain smallholder incomes.

AGRA also looks forward to working with CASA to share the emerging priorities with key audiences in Africa and beyond, building the moment for change.

For additional information about this brief or the opportunities for replicating the Dealroom activities in your country, contact:

Alvaro Valverde – a.valverde@cabi.org and Mumbi Maina - MMumbi@agra.org

Section 1: CASA's research findings

The state of the agriculture climate finance sector¹

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) play a vital role in agricultural and food systems in developing countries. The landscape of agri-SME finance in sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia is increasingly pluralistic. However, these enterprises are largely underserved by formal finance.

In sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia, there is an estimated USD 160 billion demand for financing by around 220,000 agri-SMEs. Only USD 54 billion (around 34%) is currently being met through formal finance channels, leaving an annual financing gap of USD 106 billion.

Sub-Saharan Africa: The annual financing gap is estimated at USD 74 billion for around 130,000 agri-SMEs with 84% of financing demand unmet.

South Asia: The annual financing gap is estimated at USD 31 billion for around 90,000 agri-SMEs with 45% of demand unmet.

It is hard to provide investment finance to agri-SMEs in developing markets. This is because of the high costs to serve the loans, small ticket sizes, high risk in agricultural markets, low returns relative to other sectors and low levels of investment readiness among potential borrowers.

There is an assumption that agri-SMEs graduate from informal finance, using scarce subsidies in the sub-commercial market, to grow into more commercially viable prospects. In reality, few agri-SMEs are able to make a complete graduation to fully commercial capital.

At the “top of the market,” a small set of high-growth and/or high-margin agri-SMEs, such as agrotechs, attract USD ~1-2 billion per year in higher-risk venture debt and equity financing from private equity and venture capital funds to support aggressive expansion.

In the “middle of the market,” a larger set of relatively mature, moderate growth agri-SMEs are served primarily by commercial banks (USD ~40 billion), non-bank financial institutions (USD ~6 billion), and impact funds (USD ~1-3 billion) with debt finance to support their ongoing operations and gradual growth.

At the “bottom of the market” a range of lower-growth, less mature, and less profitable agri-SMEs are being served primarily by public development banks (USD ~4 billion) and social lenders (USD ~4 billion) primarily with short-term trade finance and working capital loans.

The role of climate finance

Agri-SMEs operating in Africa and South Asia will be significantly affected by climate change in the immediate future. These regions are not large contributors to climate change but will be both the first to be impacted by it and the least equipped to adapt to its effects. More importantly, they will need to invest heavily to promote the

¹ [CASA State of the agri-SME sector – bridging the finance gap \(2021\)](#)

resilience of food systems and protect the livelihoods of the significant proportion of their populations who rely on agriculture for subsistence and incomes.

Despite the climate change urgency, climate finance for agri-SMEs is yet to emerge as a strong channel of funding with appropriate products and services, particularly those focused on agri-SME adaptation. According to a 2020 analysis conducted by the Climate Policy Initiative, global climate financing amounted to USD 580 billion, of which over 90% is dedicated to mitigation across sectors. USD 20 billion is dedicated to the agriculture, forestry, and land-use sectors. However, only USD 700 million is invested in value chain actors in non-OECD countries, despite the fact that they are the most affected by the impacts of climate change.

Alongside increased supply of finance for climate investments in sub-Saharan Africa, new investment models and approaches are needed to highlight investment opportunities in mitigation, adaptation and nature-positive solutions. New financing infrastructure is also required to effectively channel climate adaptation funding to smallholder farmers and agri-SMEs for climate-related investments. This includes: establishing a taxonomy (classification system) setting out what constitutes environmentally sustainable economic investments in agriculture, developing a pipeline of agri-business deals for investment, and integrating climate expertise into all channels of agri-SME finance.

Getting smarter about subsidy in the sub-commercial market is also an imperative. Blended finance is a significant part of the sub-commercial tier of agri-SME finance, from commercial banks to state banks and social lenders. The spectrum of subsidy ranges from the small amounts provided by development finance institutions (DFIs) to increase the risk appetite of local commercial banks to the large amounts of subsidy used by impact funds or state banks that often provide support beyond concessional finance.

Building the infrastructure around climate finance

The year 2021 marked a noticeable shift in the dialogue and impetus around climate change. COP26 sparked new commitments and an increasing awareness about the severity of climate impacts on rural populations in the global south. As the climate adaptation challenge for smallholder farmers and agri-SMEs comes into greater focus and funding is mobilized, there has been a concurrent realization that the infrastructure to effectively channel this finance where it needs to go does not exist. Capital providers do not have clarity on whether and how their investments will lead to the climate impacts desired. This leads to greenwashing that further erodes confidence in the use of the private sector to address the climate challenge. Whilst new strategies are being developed, new models and approaches are needed to generate common understanding on how different investments impact on mitigation, adaptation, and nature-positive solutions. It is imperative for agri-SME financing that:

- **New models and taxonomies are quickly developed and used** for investment strategies and reporting. Work has already started on this front, with the [EU taxonomy for sustainable activities](#) and the [OECD's ESG investing and climate transition](#), about how to report investments. These international models and standards should be research-led and used as a foundation for the agri-SME finance community to establish commonly agreed approaches to achieving climate mitigation, adaptation, and nature-based solution goals. International donors and DFIs need to step up alongside

governments to help develop these standards and sponsor the complex technical work of applying them to specific agendas, such as agri-SME climate finance.

- **Large donor investments create a viable pipeline at scale:** Almost all donors and international finance institutions are struggling to work out where to invest in climate-related interventions. One challenge is the lack of clearly understood options within agreed taxonomies. There is also a need for more agri-SME product/service solutions within viable business models. Some agri-SMEs will be at the forefront of innovating, but many others will be slower adopters of solutions (such as new irrigation, storage, and transport technologies). Donors will have a significant role to play in investing in both the early-stage development and commercialization of these climate solutions, as well as the expensive new intermediation that will be needed to channel these agri-SMEs into the portfolios of funders.
- **Climate expertise is integrated into all channels of agri-SME finance:** All agri-SME finance channels have an important role to play in supporting climate mitigation, adaptation, and nature-positive responses. Yet few have the expertise to understand specific climate-related agri-SME needs, design appropriate products, and channel the large volume of climate capital into viable financial offerings. Bridges must quickly be built between traditionally siloed communities of investment practitioners and climate specialists to introduce this climate lens. While some specialized funds, such as the [Tropical Landscapes Finance Facility](#), are starting to bring this climate expertise into the agricultural sector, donors, DFIs, and regional development banks can drive convergence of both thinking and technical expertise through commercial banks, state banks, non-banking financial institutions, and impact-oriented funds.

The landscape of climate finance mobilization initiatives²

There has been an emergence of a vast and diverse array of initiatives trying to mobilize climate finance in recent years, which has been given addition impetus by COP26. CASA has recently mapped a total of 51 initiatives across the world aiming to mobilize climate finance for low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). A third of all initiatives solely focus on agriculture, with the remainder covering multiple sectors where agriculture is increasingly a priority. These initiatives range from networks to development programmes and can be categorized based on their primary strategic approach: investment mobilization; policy influencing; knowledge generation; climate action; and enabling environment. The majority are global in scope with only four having a regional focus and of the 109 organizations involved in three or more initiatives, just ten are based in LMICs.

Pathways to stronger outcomes for the private sector

Most initiatives rely on their members to drive action, share data, insights and examples of good practice as well as governance of the initiative. This might act as a deterrent to companies and commercial investors where participation does not lead to new business opportunities. Only three initiatives directly engage with SMEs, showing that much of the work of initiatives is about agri-SMEs but little is done with

² [Climate finance mobilization initiatives – Promoting climate adaptation in agriculture](#)

them. Often the language used in discussing climate action in agriculture does not align with the language used by the private sector.

In order to get more from these initiatives specifically for driving the private sector engagement in climate adaptation it is essential to:

- **Focus on engagement with companies and investors** as core stakeholders to scale out and implement climate action, who are also vulnerable to the impact of climate change on their business operations, but are under-represented across the initiatives identified.
- **Link members to technical assistance** to build the pipeline of investable climate smart businesses.
- **Focus on the commercial viability of investment initiatives** complemented by efforts to highlight opportunities that offer both mitigation and adaptation benefits as well as satisfying business needs.
- **Take a more localized, regional approach to initiative building** with potentially a deeper and more nuanced understanding of local contexts and help engage locally based large companies and investors.
- **Coordinate efforts on metrics** to create a common framework for monitoring, evaluating and reporting on the natural capital on which the sector depends.
- **Create new initiatives targeted to specific niches of mobilizing climate finance for adaptation in agriculture in lower- and middle-income countries**, otherwise other more attractive areas for climate aligned investment will take precedence.
- **Track the impact of initiatives** to determine which have value. Only one out of 51 systematically tracks impact and only one quantifies the volume of additional finance mobilized through its activities.

Gaps in knowledge that are limiting engagement of the private sector in meeting climate outcomes

There are knowledge gaps that should be a focus of future efforts for knowledge sharing initiatives to reduce barriers to private sector investment in adaptation in agriculture in lower- and middle- income countries. These include:

- **Examples of good practice** of innovative financial mechanisms and partnerships to demonstrate the viability and impact of such investments.
- **The development of common adaptation metrics, methods, and monitoring, reporting, and verification approaches** for outcomes arising from adaptation, resilience, and regenerative agriculture practices.
- **Investment data on climate-smart agri-businesses** in lower- and middle-income countries are important for investment decision-making. Gathering and synthesizing this data was identified as a key knowledge need, with the aim that this data could be used to identify target businesses for investment by initiative members impact data and financial data on climate-smart agricultural technologies.
- **Understanding regenerative agriculture** Need for agreed definitions and parameters of regenerative agriculture and for scientific consensus on the long-term carbon sequestration potential of these approaches in agricultural contexts, and opportunities for monetizing this.

- **Examples of effective policies for stimulating investment in adaptation in agriculture in lower- and middle-income countries.** Need for a common 'roadmap' for the agriculture sector to transform in the context of meeting the 1.5°C maximum global warming target and sufficiently addressing resilience and adaptation needs for agricultural producers and value-chain businesses in LMICs.

Knowledge opportunities to unlock private sector investment for climate adaptation and resilience in the agriculture sector in lower- and middle-income countries

- Work with high-potential agri-SMEs, public climate finance providers and commercial investors to analyse bottlenecks and barriers, as well as develop **innovative finance models to support agri-SMEs in LMICs to access public and private climate finance for adaptation and resilience.**
- Work with a small number of small and large agri-businesses and smallholder cooperatives interested in regenerative agriculture to analyse likely benefits for each stakeholder, as well as potential means of enhancing benefits and minimizing trade-offs **to understand the adaptation co-benefits of regenerative agriculture from a business perspective.**
- **Understanding the economic benefits and trade-offs of in-situ adaptation and resilience measures in existing supply chains.** Achieved through economic analysis with a multi-national business to examine the short- and long-term costs, loss avoidance, profits, and supply chain resilience, including consolidation effects, versus shifting suppliers and locations under near-term and medium-term climate impact scenarios of production.
- Work with blended finance specialists and initiatives to **understand motivations and incentives for private sector and investor engagement in blended finance opportunities for adaptation and resilience in agriculture in LMICs.**
- **Country and commodity specific roadmaps for transformative change towards climate resilient production and value chains** which align with both achieving the 1.5°C maximum global warming scenario and developing sufficient adaptive capacity of producers and value chain actors to expected climate shocks and slow-onset hazards to production and supply.

Private sector perception of climate adaptation³

Investors and multinational food companies are increasingly considering climate change as a core issue for both their corporate social responsibility and increasingly also as part of their core business activities. However, where they do consider climate change, their activities and investments disproportionately focus on mitigation rather than adaptation.

³ [Mobilizing climate finance towards agricultural adaptation and nature-based solutions opportunities, challenges and evidence needs \(2022\)](#)

Reasons tipping the balance of private investment towards climate mitigation

Knowledge gaps

- **Climate mitigation is perceived** by investors and multinationals **as easier to understand and evaluate than climate adaptation.**
- **Impact investors/ investment support stakeholders** are playing a catalytic role in both adaptation and nature-based solutions, but need assurance on the impact they are having.
- **Commercial investors have limited understanding of what nature-based solutions are**, the benefits of investing in them or how to evaluate the impact of these investments.

Lack of investable opportunities

- **There is a limited pipeline of investable opportunities in adaptation and nature-based solutions**, even for impact investors.

Lack of a business case

- **It can be difficult to find a short-term business case for investment in climate adaptation**, as the results are usually only seen over a long timeframe and frequently do not accrue to the investor.
- **Commercial investors see agricultural adaptation and nature-based solutions as high-risk areas outside their scope.** Very limited commercial capital is flowing to smallholder farming for domestic consumption, ignoring the potential wider financial and economic risks associated with climate-related failure of these activities.

Fungibility

- **Multinational food and beverage companies do not often respond to the risks that climate change poses to their supply chains, as they can simply shift their sourcing across geographies** to cope with climate-related risks.

Redressing the private climate finance imbalance

Food and beverage multinationals need evidence that adaptation is about improving the resilience of their supply chain, and not just about creating a public good. They also need a **macroeconomic view of how food systems will have to evolve, and companies adapt, as climate change increases its effects.** This requires a geospatial view, overlaid with an assessment of vulnerability and trade.

Commercial investors need a clearer definition of adaptation, highlighting that much of the investment in adaptation is necessary **for loss avoidance and loss minimization, rather than seeking returns.** The impact of climate on agriculture production and on the broader economy is starting to make the case for banks to get involved in the agriculture sector as a way to protect the rest of their investment portfolio (including government bonds).

Impact investors need to see how patient capital can enable farmers to shift to small-scale agroforestry, or adopt other adaptation measures with a long timeframe

for payback. They also need **examples of nature-based solutions delivering material change for smallholders**, or where forestry carbon credits can deliver adaptation co-benefits for vulnerable communities.

The role of the enabling environment for tipping the balance

Vulnerability to climate shocks and stresses is correlated with poor governance in many countries. The quality of governance further affects a country's level of response or readiness, compounding the risk for investors. However, a country's **national action plan on climate adaptation has limited direct influence on investors, unlike a national action plan on climate mitigation.**

Availability of adaptation finance varies according to the nature of the supply chain:

- Some export-led **multinational companies** have implemented adaptation measures for smallholder farmers, **combining corporate social responsibility and partly improving supply chain resilience.**
- **Domestic companies** that have implemented adaptation measures for smallholder farmers are often **driven by funding from Multilateral Development Banks (MDBs) and/or DFIs.**
- **Private finance** for adaptation is **largely unavailable** for farms and farmers selling their product to local markets.

Opportunities for climate finance vary according to the structure of the financial market:

- **Impact investors** are **playing an important role** in supporting climate-smart agriculture, but commercial investors are not yet engaging.
- **Green bonds** can **potentially play a role in raising finance for adaptation** but the overwhelming focus for bond issuers and buyers is on climate mitigation.
- Where **microfinance institutions** are supported by appropriate regulation (for example, relating to ownership and loan sizes), **they are enabling smallholder farmers to access finance that increases their resilience.**
- **Commercial banks** currently **play no active role in financing smallholder agriculture**, even when mandated to extend agriculture finance.

Investable climate adaptation technologies⁴

Investors tend to approach **climate challenges from the perspective of environmental, social, and corporate governance (ESG)**. They look first at risk and build from a 'do no harm' perspective, rather than seeking to identify solution-oriented technology investments.

Less than 1% of private climate finance is currently directed towards climate-smart agriculture, with enterprises struggling to find affordable investment capital. Increasing private financial flows to emerging and developing economies needs to be supported by proactively connecting available capital with investable opportunities and encouraging new market structures and business models.

Technologies and business models demonstrating commercial investment potential

CASA has identified eight technologies with growth potential, investment viability, and relevance for smallholders and agribusinesses in emerging markets in Africa and Asia. These technologies can be classified into: **capital intensive technologies**, such as irrigation, which require high levels of investment; and **behavioural intensive technologies**, such as the use of coated seeds, which might require a change in behaviours either at the farmer or consumer levels.

Information services

Digital platforms that bundle together climate-smart advisory services with access to stress-tolerant inputs and financial products and services, which can provide a more integrated service to farmers. Successful platforms build on existing, trusted relationships. The bundling of sub-services also helps minimize transaction and marketing costs for the platform provider and increase the willingness to pay from clients.

Production technologies

Irrigation technologies deploying pay-as-you-go models to help to overcome the up-front capital expenditure costs. Smart irrigation involves the coupling of sensors, control instruments and irrigation machinery with computer models and meteorological information for real-time farm management. Business models that reduce the investment risks to end-users are demonstrating commercial viability when targeting horticulture.

Solar-powered micro drip irrigation systems help farmers in arid and drought-affected, areas to sustainably increase yields and crop resilience with minimal water-use and no ongoing energy costs.

Biocontrol products and precision applicators enable farmers to minimize the inputs they use for crop protection in their responses to increasing plant health threats driven by climate change. As market and consumer demand for more environmentally-friendly food increases, **investors have identified biocontrol products and precision applicators as key technologies in the transition to nature-positive agricultural production.**

⁴ [Private finance investment opportunities in climate-smart agriculture technologies \(2021\)](#)

Fresh food preservation under climate-related stresses

Solar-powered cold storage solutions to reduce food wastage and allow more produce to be sold at an optimal time and in prime condition. This maximizes income for farmers and returns for investors. Innovative business models in this area leverage stored produce as collateral for affordable credit for farmers.

Bio-coatings are organic, natural coating for fruits and vegetables, that lengthen their shelf-life. Companies with operations in Africa and Asia have shown interest in working with exporters to use these products in their supply chains. This reflects the influence of both regulations and changing consumer preferences.

Post-harvest processing

Solar-powered processing equipment enables perishable products to be stored and eaten out of season. This reducing the need to import products, and maximizing the value of the goods by making it possible to sell them when there is a supply shortage. Solar drying can enhance the value of lower-grade produce that cannot be sold fresh.

Biodigesters utilize crop and livestock waste to produce biogas and rich organic inputs for crop farming. Emerging business models enable farmers to purchase equipment on long-term low-cost credit, allowing them to generate additional income from the biogas and biomass outputs.

Technologies with potential for reducing food losses⁵

Some commercially viable climate-smart technologies with potential for promoting climate adaptation also have the potential to contribute to reducing food losses⁶ in Sub-Saharan Africa. Therefore, tackling those technologies has the potential to be a win-win for food security and for climate outcomes.

Information services: Food loss reduction through agriculture e-commerce platforms

Agri-e-commerce platforms aim to reduce food loss from farm to market by connecting farmers to retailers directly with the help of digital mobile platforms that bypass intermediaries and make supply chains more efficient. Typically, agri-e-commerce companies also engage in logistics, warehousing, and quality control, collecting produce from rural-based hubs, combining it at a central packing house, and delivering to urban retailers.

Improved food preservation

Hermetic storage bags. This can help to substantially address food loss during storage – where most loss occurs – for key staples (eg maize, rice or wheat), if it is used by small- to medium-scale traders and aggregators in rural areas with limited storage infrastructure.

Solar driers. Primary food processing, where some portion of value addition is undertaken close to the farm-gate, by farmers or SMEs, can have the dual benefit of reducing food loss and increasing rural incomes. Solar drying technology can enable these models in areas where demand for dried vegetables and fruits is high.

⁵ Upcoming report (September 2023)

⁶ Food loss refers to a decrease in mass (dry matter) or nutritional value (quality) of food that was originally intended for human consumption, occurring predominantly before the retail stage.

Solar-powered cold storage solutions. “Cooling as a service” business models offer the potential to extend the benefits of solar cold room technology to smaller agri-SMEs and micro-entrepreneurs operating in informal rural and peri-urban value chains, where consumers are more likely to face food insecurity.

Waste to value approaches

Waste to value or circular economy approaches can reduce food loss by utilizing damaged fruits and vegetables, which are unable to be sold as intended as inputs for other food products. There are promising models such as producing black soldier fly larvae to consume food waste and produce animal feed.

Roadmap for promoting investments in climate smart agriculture technologies

Finance

- There is a real **need for more early-stage venture capital** and angel investing which can be achieved by bringing together pioneer entrepreneurs, impact investors and climate experts to share examples of how funds can pivot to include climate.
- Investors **need reliable data systems** from national governments and benchmarks on low likelihood, high impact events to lower the costs to impact-focused funds on understanding nature- and climate-positive business outcomes
- The **public good nature of climate smart agriculture technologies should be recognized** with public finance funders shouldering more risk in investing directly in early-stage climate smart agricultural technology innovators. This means lower ticket sizes, greater risk and more management costs in specialized climate smart agricultural technology funds.

Challenges

- A need for **growth-stage technical assistance from public, private and philanthropic providers for climate smart agricultural technology businesses** and a move away from innovation and start-ups to provide an investable pipeline.
- A **lack of affordable finance serving the needs of smallholders** and agri-businesses.
- A **lack of clarity on the climate benefits** that can be generated and a low level of understanding by financiers of the availability of technologies. This can be addressed by information on value propositions, business resilience and profitability, commercial opportunities for investment and the development of monitoring systems.

Business models

- **Bundling complementary products and services** while addressing demand-side constraints for farmers is performing particularly well.
- Focusing on **innovative models to enhance access to appropriate consumer credit (such as pay-as-you-go)** and information services will likely have a greater effect on private finance investments in climate smart agricultural technologies than any supply-side intervention.
- **Pivoting from retailing hardware to service provision** in low-income settings.
- Building business models around **trusted relationships** and transparent trade-off considerations with farmers by integrating climate smart agriculture technologies into – or in partnership with – enterprises that already have established relationships with farmers, such as off-takers.

Section 2: Stakeholder consultations & policy implementation in Malawi

Priority areas for promoting investments in adaptation in Malawi

Without climate adaptation, climate change will have a devastating impact on Malawi's agricultural sector. Climate adaptation is also critical to the country delivering on the ambitious targets set out in the 'Vision 2063'. This strategy challenges Malawi to grow GDP by 6% a year to become an upper-middle income country by 2063. The strategy acknowledges that a 'productive, commercialized and diversified agricultural sector' is critical to this plan, and the main pillar to achieve that goal is to foster climate resilience of smallholders and agribusinesses in the country.

To put this into context, Malawi is ranked 163 out of 182 countries in terms of vulnerability to climate change. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change has observed and projected increases in heavy precipitation and flooding, as well as an increase in the proportion of the most severe tropical cyclones. Heavy flooding followed by drought is becoming increasingly common in Malawi. In January 2022, Tropical Storm Ana caused damage estimated to have cost up to 2.7% of Malawi's GDP. In March 2023, Tropical Cyclone Freddy brought torrential rainfall to southern Malawi causing devastating floods and mudslides, and widespread damage and destruction. Over 2.2 million people were affected by the heavy rains and floods; over 120,400 hectares of land were washed away; over 1.4 million livestock were affected and many households lost their food stocks due to the floods.

In preparation for the Malawi Agribusiness Investment Meeting⁷, CASA conducted a series of key informant interviews with capital providers⁸ and government bodies⁹ (including to identify key opportunities and constraints for investing in agribusinesses in Malawi. **The most recurring theme mentioned was climate change, as well as the need to find and fund ways to promote resilience in the agriculture sector in Malawi.** The increased level of unpredictability in weather in the country has increased the risk levels for lenders investing in agribusinesses sourcing from smallholder farmers in Malawi, making agriculture a "no-go zone" for many banks.

Capital providers in Malawi believe that to promote climate resilience in the agriculture sector it is critical to do two things: to create an enabling environment for climate adaptation investments, whilst increasing the availability of investment opportunities for viable and impactful climate-smart agriculture technologies. This informed the action planning sessions and discussions held during the Malawi Agribusiness Investment Meeting. The meeting identified and ranked four priority action points for increasing investments in adaptation.

⁷ <https://www.casaprogramme.com/event/malawi-agribusiness-investment-meeting/>

⁸ Including NBS Bank, Hivos, Malawi Export Development Fund, Finca Malawi and Vision Fund

⁹ Including the Malawi Agriculture and Industrial Investment Corporation and the Malawi Investment and Trade Centre

The top two priorities identified during the meeting related to improving the enabling environment for climate adaptation finance in Malawi.

Participants acknowledged that Malawi has made a start developing policies and plans that have the potential to foster climate adaptation of agribusinesses and smallholders. However, these policies and plans are often challenging to be implemented on the ground, and to address that it is necessary to look at the push for the implementation of those policies:

Priority 1: Partnerships between government, local organizations and community structures (such as Village Committees), supported by the international donor community, **to ensure implementation of adaptation policies and plans on the ground**

As well as the pull demand for the implementation of those policies and support on the ground:

Priority 2: Awareness raising at the community level about how climate is affecting agriculture and the practices needed to adapt, **to increase the demand for climate adaptation technologies and advice.** This should be combined with appropriate skills development and capacity building.

These first two priorities build on some of the recommendations highlighted by [Malawi's National Adaptation Plan Framework](#). The framework emphasizes the need to advocate and raise awareness for climate change adaptation policies and practices to policy-makers, as well as other stakeholders. The framework allocates the responsibility of the advocacy activities to the Centre for Environmental Policy and Advocacy (CEPA), while the Ministry of Justice and Constitutional Affairs leads on the review of the relevant Acts. The discussions during the Malawi Agribusiness Investment Meeting emphasized the vital nature of this work and suggested mechanisms to effectively reach and raise awareness of smallholder farming communities.

The third and fourth priorities focused on specific investment opportunities and forms of capital for climate adaptation.

Participants identified climate-resilient seed varieties and solar-powered water pumps as the top two climate-smart technologies they felt could impact on agricultural production. However, they acknowledged the need to audit existing climate-smart agriculture technologies currently being used in small and large-scale farms in Malawi. This audit will help assess those technologies that have greater success potential. The audit should also identify existing levels of capacity to access, and use, these technologies. This analysis will determine the technical assistance needed for the uptake of the technologies. The discussion identified the need to prioritize opportunities where the private sector provides the majority of the capital, as that will ensure the sustainability of the approach and scale up in the long term, with donor funds playing a role in de-risking private investments. It also identified that the audit should concentrate on those technologies that bridge mitigation and adaptation benefits, which are of particular interest to capital providers, as highlighted in CASA's research earlier in this brief.

Priority 3: Development of a better seed system with climate resilient crop varieties, as well as government-driven compliance and monitoring systems

AGRA, with support from USAID, The Rockefeller Foundation, and Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF), has been working with governments to deliver seed policy reforms across Africa. This is a comprehensive package of support embracing policy review that include seed inspection and certification, royalty charges for public varieties, liberalization of seed marketing approaches with reformed variety testing and release processes. During the meeting, AGRA committed to actively supporting priority 3 in Malawi.

Priority 4: Tax incentives or guarantee schemes for de-risking investments in climate adaptation technologies, like solar-powered water pumps, with capacity building for users

Solar powered water pumps were recurrently mentioned as one of the top climate-smart agriculture technologies available in Malawi, but additional research is needed for prioritizing the right technologies. Building on the research highlighted in previous sections of this brief, participants identified that these technologies can be considered capital intensive and that access to capital is often the main barrier for user uptake.

Three sub-sets of recommendations emerge from action point 4:

- The possibility of implementing government-led tax incentives for the users or clients of these technologies (as it has been the case in Western countries, where governments have historically cut taxes of solar powered technologies).
- De-risking mechanisms for investors interested in investing the providers of these technologies.
- The development of specialized technical assistance, so that the users of these technologies can maximize their impact on adaptation to climate change.

Malawi's National Adaptation Plan Framework highlights the need for the Ministry of Finance and the Malawi Revenue Authority to engage with the private sector in exploring incentives for climate change adaptation.

The first step identified is to conduct an economic analysis of the amount of private finance that tax incentives could mobilize. The framework identifies private sector investments as critical for promoting investments in climate resilience.

Moving forward

MITC, supported by AGRA, CAT and CASA are striving to identify and implement the necessary next steps around these four priority action points, for the promotion of investments in climate adaptation in Malawi. In parallel, MITC is committed to facilitating access to incentives for investors implementing climate-smart interventions and to doing policy advocacy on the 4 priority areas.

In Malawi, the partnership working approach has been formalized into the Malawi National Agribusiness Dealroom, a multi-stakeholder platform run by AGRA that is committed to taking forward the emerging priorities. This approach builds on AGRA's strategy to bring the functionality of the continental AGRF Dealroom into a domestic context. The approach promotes alignment of partners priorities, while ensuring that solutions developed address the investment needs of agribusinesses and smallholder farmers in the country.



Commercial Agriculture for Smallholders and Agribusiness

CASA aims to drive global investment for inclusive climate-resilient agri-food systems that increase smallholder incomes. The programme makes the case for increased agribusiness investment by demonstrating the commercial and development potential of sourcing models involving empowered smallholder producers and by tackling the information and evidence gaps holding back investment.

This paper is funded with UK aid from the UK government (FCDO). The opinions are the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the UK government. 2023 ©FCDO

www.casaprogramme.com

